

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 251495 TOSEC 160269

73

ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 CCO-00 NSCE-00 /016 R

66615

DRAFTED BY EUR/SOV:JMMONTGOMERY:AJ

APPROVED BY EUR:JAARMITAGE

C:JKELLY

S/S-O: MTANNER

----- 093370

P 222315Z OCT 75 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL SECRETARY PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 251495 TOSEC 160269

EXDIS

FOL REPEAT MOSCOW 15117 SENT ACTION SECSTATE OCT 21

C O N F I D E N T I A L MOSCOW 15117

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PFOR,UR,XO,PARM

SUBJECT: BREZHNEV ON NAVAL LIMITATIONS IN INDIAN OCEAN

REF: THE HAGUE 3408

1. SUMMARY. ACCORDING TO USA INSTITUTE DIRECTOR ARBATOV, HIS REMARKS TO CODEL HUMPHREY-SCOTT REGARDING THE SOVIET POSITION ON NAVAL DEPLOYMENTS IN THE INDIAN OCEAN STEMMED FROM BREZHNEV HIMSELF. THIS WAS LATER CORROBORATED BY USA INSTITUTE STAFFERS. END SUMMARY.

2. DURING A LENGTHY CONVERSATION ON U.S.-SOVIET RELATIONS WITH CONGRESSMAN VANIK OCTOBER 16, ARBATOV SAID ON A STRICTLY "OFF-THE-RECORD- BASIS (PLEASE PROTECT ACCORDINGLY) THAT PRIOR TO CODEL HUMPHREY-SCOTT'S CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 251495 TOSEC 160269

MEETING WITH BREZHNEV, SEVERAL MEMBERS ASKED ARBATOV

WHETHER THE USSR WOULD BE PREPARED TO DISCUSS LIMITATIONS ON NAVAL DEPLOYMENTS IN THE INDIAN OCEAN WITH THE U.S. ON A BILATERAL BASIS. ARBATOV DID NOT HAVE AN AUTHORITATIVE ANSWER, SO WHEN HE PERSONALLY BRIEFED BREZHNEV JUST BEFORE THE LATTER'S MEETING WITH THE CODEL, ARBATOV NOTED THAT THIS QUESTION WAS LIKELY TO BE RAISED. BREZHNEV REPORTEDLY REPLIED THAT IF ASKED, HE WOULD SAY THAT THE ANSWER WAS "YES."

3. HOWEVER, ARBATOV CONTINUED, FOR WHATEVER REASON THE QUESTION WAS NOT ASKED OF BREZHNEV WHEN HE SAW THE CODEL. ARBATOV THEREFORE LATER APPROACHED ONE OF THE CONCERNED SENATORS, SAID HE HAD LOOKED INTO THE QUESTION AND COULD STATE THAT THE USSR WAS INTERESTED.

4. AT DAO LUNCHEON FOR GENERAL GRAHAM OCTOBER 17, USA INSTITUTE MEMBERS B.D. YASHIN AND G.I. SVYATOV (BOTH RETIRED CANEER NAVAL OFFICERS WHO WORK ON NAVAL MATTERS) REMARKED IN SEPARATE CONVERSATIONS WITH EMBOFFS THAT THEY THOUGHT SOVIET POLICY ON NAVAL LIMITATIONS IS "UNCLEAR," AS THEY CONSIDERED U.S. POLICY TO BE. AT SAME TIME, MORE VOLUBLE SVYATOV, WHILE DISCLAIMING SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE AND STRESSING HE WORKED MAINLY ON SALT AND MBFR, NOTED RECURRENT BREZHNEV STATEMENTS ON NAVAL LIMITATIONS, CONFIRMED "HIGHEST LEVEL" CLEARANCE OF ARBATOV'S INDIAN OCEAN REMARKS TO SENATORS, AND SAID HE UNDERSTOOD SOVIETS HAD TAKEN SMALL PRIVATE STEPS TOWARD U.S. CONCERNING INDIAN OCEAN POSSIBILITIES, BUT U.S. HAD NOT RESPONDED. HE ASKED RHETORICALLY WHAT U.S. RESPONSE WOULD BE TO PROPOSAL FOR TALKS ON "PARITY OR ESSENTIAL EQUIVALENCE" OF GENERAL-PURPOSE NAVAL FORCES WORLDWIDE, WITH A REFERENCE TO LONDON AND WASHINGTON CONFERENCES BETWEEN THE WARS, AND SURMISED THAT IT WOULD NOT BE VERY POSITIVE AT THIS POINT.

5. COMMENT. PICTURE IS SOMEWHAT MURKY, BUT OUTLINES OF TWO FACTS WOULD SEEM TO EMERGE: ARBATOV'S REMARKS ON THE INDIAN OCEAN WERE IN FACT CLEARED WITH BREZHNEV, AND SOVIET POLICY CONCERNING NAVAL LIMITATIONS IS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 251495 TOSEC 160269

GENUINELY "UNCLEAR" AT THIS POINT.  
STOESSEL

UNQTE  
INGERSOLL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** TROOP DEPLOYMENT, NAVAL FORCES, FOREIGN RELATIONS, TOSEC, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 22 OCT 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE251495  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JMMONTGOMERY:AJ  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750367-0737  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751031/aaaabbqo.tel  
**Line Count:** 112  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN SS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** 75 THE HAGUE 3408  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 11 JUN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <11 JUN 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <08 JUL 2003 by GarlanWA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** BREZHNEV ON NAVAL LIMITATIONS IN INDIAN OCEAN  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PARM, UR, XO, (BREZHNEV)  
**To:** SECRETARY  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006